Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

## Savarimuthu Francis,<sup>a</sup> Packianathan Thomas Muthiah,<sup>a</sup>\* Gabriele Bocelli<sup>b</sup> and Andrea Cantoni<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Chemistry, Bharathidasan University, Tiruchirappalli 620 024, Tamil Nadu, India, and <sup>b</sup>IMEM-CNR, Palazzo Chimico-Campus, Parco Area delle Scienze 17/a, I-43100 Parma, Italy

Correspondence e-mail: tommtrichy@yahoo.co.in

#### Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 293 KMean  $\sigma(C-C) = 0.004 \text{ Å}$  R factor = 0.028 wR factor = 0.061 Data-to-parameter ratio = 17.0

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

# Bis( $\mu$ -8-hydroxy-7-iodoquinoline-5-sulfonato- $\kappa^3 N$ ,O:O')bis[triaquazinc(II)] tetrahydrate

In the title compound,  $[Zn_2(C_9H_4INO_4S)_2(H_2O)_6]\cdot 4H_2O$ , the coordination geometry around the Zn<sup>II</sup> atom is a distorted octahedron, formed by three water molecules, the N and deprotonated O atoms of the quinolinol ring and one of the O atoms of the sulfonate group. In the centrosymmetric binuclear complex, there is a  $\pi$ - $\pi$ -stacking interaction between the quinolinol rings. The water molecules of crystallization play an important role in the hydrogen-bonding patterns of the three-dimensional network.

## Comment

Oxine [8-hydroxyquinoline (HQ)] derivatives are well known analytical reagents and are also known for their anti-amoebic, antibacterial and antifungal activities, which could be correlated to their ability for bidentate chelation to metals. Many metal complexes of HQ have been reported (Palenik, 1964). The crystal structures of 8-hydroxy-7-nitroquinoline-5sulfonic acid monohydrate (Balasubramanian & Muthiah, 1996) and 2-methyl-8-hydroxyquinoline-5-sulfonic acid monohydrate (Merrit & Duffin, 1970) have also been reported. As groups with coordination abilities are present on both sides, the sulfoxine ligand is capable of forming dimers. The iodo substitution at the 7-position in the sulfoxine ligand [7-iodo-8-hydroxyquinoline-5-sulfonic acid (ferron)] tends to result predominantly in isomorphous crystal structures with metal ions. Owing to its stability, a cage-like dimeric structure is formed rather than a polymeric network. Both types of crystal structure have already been reported (Petit, Coquerel & Perez, 1993; Petit, Ammor et al., 1993) for the copper complex of sulfoxine [8-hydroxyquinoline-5-sulfonic acid (HQS)]. The structures of the cobalt complex of ferron (Balasubramanian, 1995), the nickel complex of ferron (Baskar Raj, Muthiah et al., 2003), the lithium complex of HQS (Murugesan & Muthiah, 1997), the sodium complex of HQS (Baskar Raj et al., 2002) and the nickel complex of HQS (Baskar Raj et al., 2001) have been reported by our laboratory. The present study concerns the structure of the title zinc compound, (I).



Received 13 January 2003 Accepted 21 January 2003 Online 31 January 2003

© 2003 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain – all rights reserved

## metal-organic papers



## Figure 1

A view of the dimeric complex of (I), showing 50% probability displacement ellipsoids for non-H atoms.



## Figure 2 The tandem-type of hydrogen-bonding pattern in (I).

The asymmetric unit of (I) contains one monomeric unit of  $[Zn(ferron)(H_2O)_3]$  and two uncoordinated water molecules. Two inversion-related ligands and two Zn atoms form a cagelike dimer (Fig. 1 and Table 1). The coordination of Zn is a distorted octahedron, the metal atom being surrounded by three water molecules, one of the O atoms of the sulfonate group (O1) and the N and O atoms of the oxine ring. In the octahedral geometry, two O atoms of coordinated water molecules (O2W and O3W) and N1, O4 of the oxine moiety occupy equatorial positions, and the sulfonate O atom and one O atom of a water molecule (O1W) are in axial positions. The Zn-N1, Zn-O and S-O bond distances and the N1-Zn-O4 bite angle  $[80.56 (9)^{\circ}]$  are very close to those found in the nickel complex of ferron (Baskar Raj, Muthiah et al., 2003). In (I),  $\pi$ - $\pi$ -stacking is observed between quinolinol rings within the dimer. The interplanar and centroid-to-centroid distances are 3.464 and 3.520 Å, respectively, and the slip angle (angle between the centroid vector and normal to the plane) is  $9.45^{\circ}$ .

The water molecules and the hetero O atoms play an important role in the formation of hydrogen-bonding patterns



Figure 3 A view of the fork-like hydrogen-bonding interaction in (I).



Figure 4

Hydrogen-bonding patterns involving water molecules of crystallization in (I).

(Table 2) and in the formation of supramolecular motifs. The non-coordinated O atom (O3) of the sulfonate group acts as an acceptor to the coordinated water molecule (O2W), forming a six-membered ring within the dimer. The tandem hydrogen bonding between each of the two coordinated water molecules of the adjacent dimeric units forms an  $R_2^2(4)$  ring motif (Fig. 2). Atom O3 also acts as an acceptor to the coordinated water molecule (O1W) of the neighbouring unit. Another O atom of the SO<sub>3</sub> group (O2) is also involved in hydrogen bonds, one with an uncoordinated water molecule (O4W) and another with a neighbouring coordinated water molecule (O2W). Two coordinated water molecules (O1W and O2W) of one dimer donate H atoms to two of the O atoms (O2 and O3) of the sulfonate group of a neighbouring unit, reminiscent of the fork-like interactions (Fig. 3) observed in trimethoprim-sulfonate complexes (Baskar Raj, Sethuraman

 $\theta_{\rm max} = 30.0^{\circ}$  $h = -31 \rightarrow 30$ 

 $k = 0 \rightarrow 14$ 

 $l = 0 \rightarrow 18$ 

1 standard reflection

every 100 reflections

intensity decay: none

All H-atom parameters refined

 $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0239P)^2]$ 

 $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.001$  $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.91 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$ 

 $\Delta\rho_{\rm min} = -1.00~{\rm e}~{\rm \AA}^{-3}$ 

frequency: 60 min





et al., 2003). The quinolinol O atom (O4) acts as a hydrogenbonding acceptor to two uncoordinated water molecules, O4W and O5W, resulting in two types of hydrogen-bonding pattern. One  $(O4\cdots H25W-O5W-H15W\cdots O4W\cdots H13W-O3W-$ Zn) makes an eight-membered ring (Fig. 4) and the other  $(O4\cdots H24W-O4W-H14W\cdots O2)$  is intermolecular in nature, bridges neighbouring moieties through the hydrogenbonded chain. The overall supramolecular architecture consists of parallel supramolecular chains, made up of a metal atom and O atoms (of sulfonate and water molecules), linked by the aromatic part of the oxine moieties acting as ladders (Fig. 5).

## **Experimental**

Hot aqueous solutions of trimethoprim (obtained as a gift from Shilpa Antibiotics Ltd, 0.072 g) and ferron (Riedel-de-Haen, 0.085 g) were mixed in a 1:1 molar ratio. Then a hot aqueous solution of  $ZnSO_4$ ·7H<sub>2</sub>O (S. D. Fine Chemicals Ltd, 0.072 g) was added to the above solution and warmed over a water bath for 8 h. After a few days of slow evaporation, needle-shaped yellow crystals of (I) separated out from the mother liquor.

## Crystal data

$[Zn_2(C_0H_4INO_4S)_2(H_2O)_2]$ ·4H_2O	D =
$M_r = 1009.14$	Mol
Monoclinic, $C2/c$	Cell
a = 22.278 (4)  Å	re
b = 10.076 (3) Å	$\theta = 3$
c = 13.496(2) Å	$\mu = 1$
$\beta = 102.29(3)^{\circ}$	T = 2
$V = 2960.1 (12) \text{ Å}^3$	Thin
Z = 4	0.31

 $D_x = 2.264 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo K\alpha radiation Cell parameters from 25 reflections  $\theta = 3.1-30.1^{\circ}$  $\mu = 3.93 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 293 (2) K Thin plate, yellow  $0.31 \times 0.26 \times 0.23 \text{ mm}$ 

### Data collection

```
Philips PW1100 diffractometer \omega scans
Absorption correction: \psi scan (North et al., 1968)
T_{\min} = 0.303, T_{\max} = 0.405
4484 measured reflections
4326 independent reflections
2975 reflections with I > 2\sigma(I)
R_{int} = 0.021
```

#### Refinement

Refinement on  $F^2$   $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.028$   $wR(F^2) = 0.061$  S = 0.904326 reflections 255 parameters

## Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

I-C7	2.093 (3)	S-O1	1.461 (2)	
Zn-O1	2.311 (2)	S-O2	1.466 (2)	
Zn-O1W	2.132 (3)	S-O3	1.457 (2)	
Zn-O2W	2.101 (3)	S-C5	1.771 (3)	
Zn-O3W	2.017 (3)	O4-C8	1.311 (4)	
$Zn-O4^{i}$	2.085 (2)	N1-C10	1.370 (4)	
Zn-N1 <sup>i</sup>	2.073 (3)	N1-C2	1.325 (4)	
$O1 T_n O1W$	176 67 (11)	02 5 02	112 54 (14)	
O1 - Zn - O1W	80.46 (12)	02 - 3 - 03	112.34(14) 105.26(12)	
O1 - Zn - O2W O1 - Zn - O3W	82 17 (10)	02 - 3 - 03	105.30(13) 106.37(14)	
$O1 Zn O4^{i}$	88 14 (0)	7n 01	140.02(13)	
01 - Zn - 04 $01 - Zn - N1^{i}$	87.41 (10)	2n = 01 = 3 $7n^{i} = 04 = 08$	140.92(13) 111.74(18)	
O1 = Zn = RT O1W = Zn = O2W	89 19 (14)	$2n^{i} - 04 - c_{0}$ $7n^{i} - N1 - C_{2}$	1286(2)	
O1W = Zn = O2W O1W = Zn = O3W	94.70(12)	$C_{2} = N_{1} = C_{2}$	120.0(2) 118.9(3)	
$O1W - Zn - O4^{i}$	93 25 (11)	$Zn^{i} - N1 - C10$	112.23 (18)	
$O1W - Zn - N1^{i}$	95.80 (12)	N1 - C2 - C3	122.8 (3)	
O2W - Zn - O3W	85.97 (13)	S-C5-C6	119.4(2)	
$O2W-Zn-O4^{i}$	177.50 (13)	S-C5-C9	121.2 (2)	
O2W-Zn-N1 <sup>i</sup>	98.63 (11)	I - C7 - C6	119.6 (2)	
$O3W-Zn-O4^{i}$	94.40 (11)	I-C7-C8	117.6 (2)	
O3W-Zn-N1 <sup>i</sup>	168.59 (11)	O4-C8-C7	124.5 (3)	
$O4^i - Zn - N1^i$	80.56 (9)	O4-C8-C10	119.9 (3)	
O1-S-O2	110.85 (13)	N1-C10-C9	122.0 (3)	
O1-S-O3	113.43 (14)	N1-C10-C8 115.4 (3)		
O1-S-C5	107.74 (14)			

Symmetry code: (i)  $\frac{1}{2} - x, \frac{1}{2} - y, -z$ .

### Table 2

Hydrogen-bonding geometry (Å, °).

$D-\mathrm{H}\cdots A$	D-H	$H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$	$D \cdots A$	$D - \mathbf{H} \cdots A$
$O1W-H11W\cdots O5W^{i}$	0.91 (3)	1.97 (3)	2.855 (4)	163 (4)
O2W−H12W···O3	0.94 (5)	2.56 (5)	3.333 (5)	140 (5)
$O2W - H12W \cdot \cdot \cdot O2W^{ii}$	0.94 (5)	2.58 (5)	3.156 (5)	120 (5)
$O3W - H13W \cdots O4W^{iii}$	0.83 (5)	1.97 (5)	2.777 (4)	165 (4)
$O4W-H14W\cdots O2^{iv}$	0.79 (4)	2.04 (4)	2.813 (4)	168 (4)
$O5W - H15W \cdots O4W^{v}$	0.79 (5)	2.05 (5)	2.771 (4)	151 (5)
$O1W-H21W\cdots O3^{vi}$	0.92 (3)	1.91 (3)	2.834 (4)	177 (4)
$O2W - H22W \cdot \cdot \cdot O2^{vi}$	0.93 (3)	1.83 (3)	2.753 (4)	170 (4)
$O3W - H23W \cdots O5W^{vii}$	0.80(4)	1.96 (4)	2.761 (4)	176 (4)
$O4W-H24W\cdots O4$	0.72(5)	2.29 (5)	2.947 (4)	152 (5)
O5W−H25W···O4 <sup>viii</sup>	0.84 (5)	2.16 (5)	2.988 (4)	168 (5)
С6—Н6…О3	0.96 (4)	2.42 (4)	2.867 (4)	108 (3)

Symmetry codes: (i)  $\frac{1}{2} - x, \frac{1}{2} - y, -z$ ; (ii) 1 - x, 1 - y, -z; (iii)  $\frac{1}{2} + x, y - \frac{1}{2}, z$ ; (iv)  $\frac{1}{2} - x, \frac{1}{2} + y, \frac{1}{2} - z$ ; (v)  $-x, y, \frac{1}{2} - z$ ; (vi)  $x, 1 - y, z - \frac{1}{2}$ ; (vii)  $\frac{1}{2} + x, \frac{1}{2} - y, z - \frac{1}{2}$ ; (viii)  $x, 1 - y, \frac{1}{2} + z$ .

H atoms were located from difference Fourier maps and their coordinates and isotropic displacement parameters were refined. The

C–H and O–H bond lengths are 0.90 (3)–0.96 (4) and 0.72 (5)– 0.94 Å, respectively.

Data collection: *FEBO* (Belletti, 1996); cell refinement: *MolEN* (Fair, 1990); data reduction: *MolEN*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SIR97* (Altomare *et al.*, 1999); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *PLATON*97 (Spek, 1997); software used to prepare material for publication: *PLATON*97.

SF thanks the Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR), New Delhi, India, for the award of a Senior Research Fellowship [reference No. 9/475(109)2002 EMR-I].

## References

- Altomare, A., Burla, M. C., Camalli, M., Cascarano, G., Giacovazzo, C., Guagliardi, A., Moliterni, A. G. G., Polidori, G. & Spagna, R. (1999). J. Appl. Cryst. 32, 115–119.
- Balasubramanian, T. P. (1995). PhD thesis, Department of Chemistry, Bharathidasan University, Tiruchirappalli, India.
- Balasubramanian, T. P. & Muthiah, P. T. (1996). Acta Cryst. C52, 1017-1019.

- Baskar Raj, S., Muthiah, P. T., Bocelli, G. & O'lla, R. (2002). Acta Cryst. E58, m513–m516.
- Baskar Raj, S., Muthiah, P. T., Bocelli, G. & Righi, L. (2001). Acta Cryst. E57, m591–m594.
- Baskar Raj, S., Muthiah, P. T., Urszula, R., Beata, W., Bocelli, G. & O'lla, R. (2003). Acta Cryst. E59, m46–m49.
- Baskar Raj, S., Sethuraman, V., Francis, S., Hemamalini, M., Muthiah, P. T., Bocelli, G., Cantoni, A., Rychlewska, U. & Warzajtis, B. (2003). *CrystEngComm.* Submitted.
- Belletti, D. (1996). *FEBO*. Internal Report 1-96. Centro di Studio per la Strutturistica Diffrattometrica del CNR, Parma, Italy.
- Fair, C. K. (1990). MolEN. Enraf-Nonius, Delft, The Netherlands.
- Merrit, L. L. & Duffin, B. (1970). Acta Cryst. B26, 734-744.
- Murugesan, S. & Muthiah, P. T. (1997). XXVIIIth National Seminar on Crystallography, Kottayam, India, September 24–26. [CCDC deposition No. CCDC 166283; Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, 12 Union Road, Cambridge, England.]
- North, A. C. T., Phillips, D. C. & Mathews, F. S. (1968). Acta Cryst. A24, 351– 359.
- Palenik, G. J. (1964). Acta Cryst. 17, 696-700.
- Petit, S., Ammor, S., Coquerel, G., Mayer, C. & Perez, G. (1993). *Eur. J. Solid State Inorg. Chem.* **30**, 497–507.
- Petit, S., Coquerel, G. & Perez, G. (1993). New. J. Chem. 17, 187-192.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Spek, A. L. (1997). *PLATON*97. Utrecht University, The Netherlands.